

BHAGWAN PARSHURAM INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

(Electronics & Communication Engineering Department)

RUBRICS FOR MINOR / MAJOR PROJECT EVALUATION

Rubrics Review

Review#	Agenda	Assessment	Review Assessment Weightage	Over all Weightage
Review 1	Project Synopsis/ Proposal Evaluation	Rubric R1	4% (4)	40% (40)
Review 2	Mid-Term Project Evaluation	Rubric R2	10% (10)	
Review 3	End Semester Project Evaluation	Rubric R3	10% (10)	
Review 4	Project Report Evaluation	Rubric R4	6% (06)	
Review 5	Evaluation by Guide	Rubric R5	10% (10)	
External Evaluation			60% (60)	60% (60)
Total		7	100% (100)	(100)

Ray Short

~

PJ-

Rubric #R1: Project Synopsis/ Proposal Evaluation

				Level of Achievemen	nt .		
		Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Acceptable (1)	Unacceptable (0)	Score
a	Identification of Problem Domain and Detailed Analysis	Detailed and extensive explanation of the purpose and need of the project	Good explanation of the purpose and need of the project	Average explanation of the purpose and need of the project;	Moderate explanation of the purpose and need of the project	Minimal explanation of the purpose and need of the project	
b	Study of the Existing Systems and Feasibility of Project Proposal	Detailed and extensive explanation of the specifications and the limitations of the existing systems	Collects a great deal of information and good study of the existing systems;	Mopderate study of the existing systems: collects some basic information	Explanation of the specifications and the limitations of the existing systems not very satisfactory; limited information	Minimal explanation of the specifications and the limitations of the existing systems; incomplete information	
c	Objectives and Methodology of the Proposed Work	All objectives of the proposed work are well defined: Steps to be followed to solve the defined problem are clearly specified	Good justification to the objectives; Methodology to be followed is specified but detailing is not done	Incomplete justification to the objectives proposed; Steps are mentioned but unclear; without justification to objectives	Only Some objectives of the proposed work are well defined; Steps to be followed to solve the defined problem are not specified properly	Objectives of the proposed work are either not identified or not well defined: Incomplete and improper specification	

Rubric #R2: Mid-term Project Evaluation

			Level	of Achievement			
		• Excellent (10)	Good (8)	• Average (6)	Acceptable (4)	. Unacceptable (2)	Score
a	Design Methodology	Divison of problem into modules and good selection of computing framework Appropriate design methodology and properly justification	Divison of problem into modules and good selection of computing framework Design methodology not properly justified	Divison of problem into modules but inappropriate selection of computing framework Design methodology not defined properly	Partial divison of problem into modules and inappropriate selection of computing framework Design methodology not defined properly	Modular approach not adopted Design methodology not defined	
b	Planning of Project Work and Team Structure	Time frame properly specified and being • followed Appropriate distribution of project work	Time frame properly specified and being • followed Distribution of project work inappropriate	Time frame properly specified, but not being followed Distribution of project work un-even	Time frame properly specified, but not being followed Un-even distribution of project work and no synchronization	Time frame not properly specified In-appropriate distribution of project work	
c	Demonstration and Presentation	Objectives achieved as per time frame Contents of presentations are appropriate and well arranged Proper eye contact with audience and clear voice with good spoken language	Contents of presentations are	Objectives achieved as per time frame Contents of presentations are appropriate but not well arranged Presentation not satisfactory and average demonstration	Objectives not achieved as per time frame Contents of presentations are not appropriate Eye contact with few people and unclear voice	No objectives achieved Contents of presentations are not appropriate and not well delivered Poor delivery of presentation	

Rubric #R3: End Semester Internal Project Evaluation

			Level of A	Vehievement			
		Excellent (10)	Good (8)	Average (6)	Acceptable (4)	Unacceptable (2)	Score
a	Incorporation of Suggestions	Changes are made as per modifications suggested during mid term evaluation and new innovations added	Changes are made as per modifications suggested during mid term evaluation and good Justification	All major changes are made as per modifications suggested during mid term evaluation	Few changes are made as per modifications suggested during mid term evaluation	Suggestions during mid term evaluation are not incorporated	
b	Project Demonstration	All defined objectives are achieved Each module working well and properly demonstrated All modules of project are well integrated and system working is accurate	All defined objectives are achieved Each module working well and properly demonstrated Integration of all modules not done and system working is not veey satisfactory	All defined objectives are achieved Modules are working well in isolation and properly demonstrated Modules of project are not properly integrated	Some of the defined objectives are achieved Modules are working well in isolation and properly demonstrated Modules of project are not properly integrated	Defined objectives are not achieved Modules are not in proper working form that further leads to failure of integrated system	
c	Presentation	Contents of presentations are appropriate and well delivered Proper eye contact with audience and clear voice with good spoken language	Contents of presentations are appropriate and well delivered Clear voice with good spoken language but less eye contact with Andience	Contents of presentations are appropriate but not well delivered Eye contact with few people and unclear voice	Contents of presentations are not appropriate Eye contact with few people and unclear voice	Contents of presentations are not appropriate and not well delivered Poor delivery of presentation	

Rubric #R4: Project Report Evaluation

	Level of Achievement							
		• Excellent (6)	• Good (4)	Average (2)	Acceptable (1)	• Unacceptable (0)	Score	
a	Project Report	Project report is according to the specified format References and citations are appropriate and well mentioned	Project report is according to the specified format References and citations are appropriate but not mentioned well	Project report is according to the specified format but some mistakes In-sufficient references and citations	Project report is not fully according to the specified format In-sufficient references and citations	Project report not prepared according to the specified format References and citations are not appropriate		
b	Description of Concepts and Technical Details	Complete explanation of the key concepts Strong description of the technical requirements of the project	Complete explanation of the key concepts In-sufficient description of the technical requirements of the project	Complete explanation of the key concepts but little relevance to literature In-sufficient description of the technical requirements of the project	All key concepts are not explained and very little relevance to literature In-sufficient description of the technical requirements of the project	Inapproiate explanation of the key concepts Poor description of the technical requirements of the project		
c	Conclusion and Discussion	Results are presented in very appropriate manner Project work is well summarized and concluded Future extensions in the project are well specified	Results are presented in good manner Project work summary and conclusion not very appropriate Future extensions in the project are specified	Results presented are not much satisfactory Project work summary and conclusion not very appropriate Future extensions in the project are specified	Results presented are not much satisfactory Project work summary and conclusion not very appropriate Future extensions in the project are not specified	Results are not presented properly Project work is not summarized and concluded Future extensions in the project are not specified		

Rubric #R5: Evaluation by Guide

			Level of Achiever	nent			
		Excellent (4) Satisfactory (2) Ur			Unsatisfactory (1)	Score	
a	Self Motivation and Determination	Approaches the project with self-motivation and follows it through to completion		Completes the project but sometimes lacks self- motivation	Lacks self motivation and determination		
b	Working within a Team	Collaborates and commun situation and integrates the		Exchanges some views but requires guidance to collaborate with others.	Makes little or no attempt to collaborate in a group situation		
c	Technical Knowledge and Awareness related to the Project	Extensive knowledge related to the project		Fair knowledge related to the project	Lacks sufficient knowledge		
			Level of Achieven	nent			
		Excellent (6)	Good (4)	Satisfactory (2)	Unsatisfactory (0)	Score	
d	Regularity	Reports to the guide regularly and consistent in work	Not very regular but consistent in the work	Reports to the guide but lacks consistency	Irregular in attendance and inconsistent in work		